Burnout Costs More Than Employers Think

Why burnout belongs in the business conversation, not just the wellness conversation

Like a superhero dressed in civilian clothes — without mask and cape — burnout is hard to recognize. But when you know what you're looking for, you can see it in the data. It shows up as missed work, lower productivity, rising claims, preventable mistakes, and avoidable turnover, all because people are still on the job, but running on empty.

Because it is harder to isolate on a spreadsheet, many organizations treat burnout as a culture problem, a manager problem or a side issue for the wellness team. But before it is any of those things, it is a business problem.

The World Health Organization defines burnout as an occupational phenomenon caused by chronic workplace stress that has not been successfully managed. It is marked by exhaustion, growing mental distance from work and reduced effectiveness. Burnout is not just feeling tired. It is the gap that is created when the demands of work outpace a person's capacity to sustain them.

And that gap comes at a price. A 2025 study in the American Journal of Preventive Medicine found that employee disengagement and burnout can cost employers 0.2 to 2.9 times the average cost of health insurance per employee and 3.3 to 17.1 times the cost of training per employee. If healthcare cost management is about tracking visible spend, burnout prevention is about identifying the hidden drivers of cost before they show up as higher claims, more absence, lower productivity, or avoidable turnover.

The hidden force behind higher healthcare use

Most employer healthcare strategies focus on obvious levers: plan design, pharmacy spend, vendor performance, network strategy and cost sharing. Those decisions matter, but they do not explain everything.

When employees are burned out, stress does not stay neatly contained at work. It can show up in physical and mental health issues, which can drive more utilization, more complex claims and greater demand for behavioral health, leave and disability support.

The problem is not that employees are using their benefits. The problem is that many organizations are paying for the downstream effects without addressing the upstream root cause.

An employer may see an increase in mental health claims, leave requests or musculoskeletal issues and treat each one as a separate trend. In reality, they may point back to the same operating problem: too much workload, too little support, or a pace of change people cannot keep absorbing.

WHO has also warned that poor working conditions, including excessive workloads, low job control and job insecurity, can create serious risks to mental health. Depression and anxiety alone are estimated to cost the global economy about $1 trillion each year in lost productivity.

That is the risk of looking at healthcare costs in isolation. Claims data can show where money went. It does not always explain why the need grew in the first place.

Why programs alone will not solve it

Many employers have already invested in well-being. The problem is that it has often been treated like a menu of offerings rather than a strategy: an app, an EAP, a webinar, a challenge or some manager training.

The issue is not the existence of those programs. It is the lack of visibility around whether they are reaching the right people, addressing the right problems or changing anything meaningful.

For HR leaders, the next step is to stop treating well-being as a collection of benefits and start treating it as an operating issue.

That means understanding where employees are struggling, which groups are showing early signs of risk, which benefits are underused or used too late, and how health patterns connect to absence, turnover, engagement and productivity. Without that view, intervention usually comes after costs have already mounted.

This is where better visibility matters. AptiaOne can help employers move beyond fragmented benefits administration and get a clearer view of workforce patterns. When leaders can connect benefits use, workforce health and business outcomes, well-being becomes easier to manage intentionally.

Burnout as a leading indicator of cost

If HR wants well-being to stay on the leadership agenda, it has to be tied to business outcomes.

Burnout is not just a morale issue. It is an early warning sign that workforce strain is starting to affect productivity, retention, healthcare use and overall performance. Those effects do not always appear at once, and they rarely arrive labeled as burnout. More often, they show up later as rising claims, more absence, lower engagement and avoidable turnover.

That is why visibility matters. When employers can connect workforce strain to benefits use, health trends and business outcomes, they are in a better position to act before costs escalate.

Gallup's 2026 State of the Global Workplace report found that just 20% of employees worldwide were engaged in 2025, and low engagement cost the global economy an estimated $10 trillion in lost productivity. Burnout and low engagement are not the same, but they are closely connected — and both signal risk that employers cannot afford to treat as separate from business performance.

The strongest well-being strategies are not defined by how many programs an employer offers. They are defined by how clearly leaders can see where support is needed, how early they can respond and whether they can reduce the downstream costs that come from waiting too long.

That is the difference between offering benefits and learning from them.

What smarter employers will do next

The employers that make real progress on well-being will not be the ones with the longest list of programs. They will be the ones that can see what is happening early and respond before costs and risk start to compound.

That requires a shift from administration to insight.

AptiaOne supports that shift by helping employers connect benefits activity with broader workforce trends. Instead of looking at utilization, health needs and employee support in separate silos, leaders can better see where intervention may have the greatest impact.

Burnout is far reaching; it affects healthcare, absence, turnover, engagement, service quality and overall performance.

Healthcare costs are easy to spot. Burnout costs are easier to miss. Employers that manage both will be in a much stronger position than those that still treat burnout as a side issue.

Image
Burnout Costs More Than Employers Think

[View PDF]
[Articles]